Washington State Department of Ecology Sediment Cleanup Advisory Committee

November 18, 2011 9:30am-3:30pm **Ecology Office, Bellevue, Washington**

Agenda

| , | |
|-------------|--|
| 9:30-9:45 | 1. Introductions |
| 9:45-10:30 | 2. Cleanup Process and how the SMS rule revisions apply: |
| | i. Site investigation and evaluation (SMS rule section -560, -580)) ii. Establishing site units (SMS rule section -500) iii. Establishing site-specific cleanup standards (SMS rule section -570) iv. Establishing background concentrations (SMS rule section -570). |
| 10:30–11:45 | Input and Feedback—Advisory Committee Members |
| | i. We want to know if it is an implementable, protective, and legally defensible solution. Does the rule language capture the necessary specificity and clarity? If not, what suggestions would you provide for either question? |
| 11:45-12:00 | Audience Comment Period |
| 12:00-12:30 | Lunch Break |
| 12:30–1:00 | 3. Settling liability for Site Unit Cleanup, Source Control |
| | i. Relevant SMS rule provisions for selection of cleanup actions (Section 580) ii. PLP Source Control for Site Unit (SMS rule section – 560, -580, -590) |
| | iii. PLP Liability Settlement for Site Unit (SMS rule section -500) |
| 1:00-2:00 | Input and Feedback—Advisory Committee Members |
| | i. Is an implementable, protective, and legally defensible solution? Does the rule language capture the specificity, clarity? If not, what suggestions would you provide for either question? |
| 2:00-2:15 | Break |
| 2:15–3:00 | Discussion, Input, and Feedback—Advisory Committee Members |
| | i. Additional input on all Site Unit focused discussion from today. Think about your own sites and how this could work, would this concept and rule language work? Are there areas that you view as problems? What are your ideas about how to address problems you have identified? |
| 3:00-3:15 | Audience Comment Period |
| 3:15-3:30 | 4. Follow-up Needed and Next Meeting Discussion Topics |
| | 1 |